

April 1, 1981

LB 129

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See pages 1266 and 1267, Legislative Journal.) 19 ayes, 24 nays on the motion to rerefer, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Motion fails. Senator Nichol, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR NICHOL: I just wanted to advance the bill, Mr. Chairman. You have heard the amendments which is the bill and I just move to advance the bill.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Koch, your light is on.

SENATOR KOCH: Well, if we are going to do this, Mr. Speaker, then we might as well stick around awhile. Senator Cullan never did get into the bill. I don't know what the amount of money that is involved. We were talking primarily about criminalization of intoxication. Then we got into possibly increasing dollars for detox centers and health, and off the back of my hand here I read the bill really should have gone to education because I understand some of that money is going to develop curriculum to handle alcohol's disease and how we can avoid that, but since we did not see fit to follow the tradition of this body and refer it, we might as well stick around here and discuss it very thoroughly because I want to hear Senator Cullan tell me exactly what he is going to take from the industries and the amount of money that can be expected to be raised and how we are going to allocate it and what the noble purposes are going to be. Originally, I might advise you, Senator Nichol came up to me in his very suave way the other day and asked me if I could support a little more money for the purpose of treating alcohol's disease and I sort of offhandedly said, "Yes, I could", but that was before I found out that it hadn't been through a hearing. So I am not going to allow this to happen to an industry whether I believe in their half or the other half. So I would want a complete explanation of the bill by Senator Cullan, since obviously he is the author.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Haberman, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR HABERMAN: A point of order, please.

SPEAKER MARVEL: What is the point.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I believe we have got a rule that all bills like this are supposed to have an A bill with it and I don't believe this...no, Pat. Doesn't the rule book