April 1, 1981

Senator Labedz backed off and asked to have only abortion procedures involved in the proposal of LB466. I do not support the committee amendments. I think the original intent should show the medical profession what was intended that the legislature now knows more than the medical profession, according to Senator Labedz, about what should be unprofessional conduct. In fact, the tonsillectomies which are performed across the state have a higher mortality rate in some areas than the procedure which Senator Labedz is trying to force into our statutes. Since we do not have a member of the medical profession within our legislative body, and since, in most other states the medical profession itself has set the standards, should set the standards because those are the expert persons in the field. As a member of the advisory. . . .

SENATOR CLARK: You have one minute.

SENATOR MARSH: . . .committee to the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology, I am aware that this is not what it is purported to be, that it is harassment, as I have previously stated, that the fact that it only applies to one type of medical procedure is the basis for my questions to the Attorney General's office. That if it were in its original form it might be constitutional. In the form which is being requested now by this legislative body I feel that it is not Constitutional for it is only taking one procedure, one medical procedure and saying, this legislative body is the expert.

SENATOR CLARK: Your time is up, Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you, Senator Clark.

SENATOR CLARK: The question before the House is the advancement of 466. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Did you want to close, Senator Labedz? I'm sorry, there is a motion on the desk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers moves that LB 466 be indefinitely postponed.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, this is not the beginning of a series of motions. This is a motion that I have to offer on this bill. There is no way I could get around offering it. I think that it is an unjust piece of legislation. I think that it is not going to do anything to hurt the doctors, if that is what its intent and its thrust is designed to be. It is going to strike at the

2755