

SENATOR MARSH: Senator Labeledz, what you said in the original bill was that you wanted to insert into legislation areas of unprofessional conduct and you wanted to include medical-surgical procedures. Then suddenly when you discovered that that would not just incorporate abortion and that physicians across the state did not like your proposal and would not live with your proposal, at that time you decided to only leave it for abortions, is that correct?

SENATOR LABEDZ: Is that a question?

SENATOR MARSH: Yes, that is a question.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Can I answer it in detail.

SENATOR MARSH: You may answer yes or no.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Well it is very difficult to answer yes or no to your question. It had several sections to it.

SENATOR MARSH: Did you originally intend to only incorporate it for abortion issues?

SENATOR CLARK: Lets not have a dialogue, lets have the question and the answer.

SENATOR LABEDZ: I can not answer that yes or not without going into detail.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you very much.

SENATOR LABEDZ: It is the committee amendments that we are voting on, not the bill. I mean the committee amendment is the bill.

SENATOR MARSH: Therefore it is proper to discuss the bill since the committee amendments are the bill.

SENATOR LABEDZ: That is correct.

SENATOR MARSH: The original bill was. . . .

SENATOR LABEDZ: State your question again.

SENATOR MARSH: I'm not asking anything further. Thank you, Senator Labeledz. In fact, the original intent was to incorporate what physicians should do, although we had not entered into that category previously. It was only after it was discovered that the medical profession did not appreciate having the Legislature, in detail, trample on their area that