

April 1, 1981

LB 253

line here on Senator DeCamp's amendment. Thank you very much, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. Speaker and colleagues, tell me, John, it isn't true. Tell me, John, it is just an April Fool's joke. What is going to be left in this bill? I mean this is the major thrust of all the debate, all the arguments, all the persuasion you have given us that we have to have these cans on every corner of every mainstreet across this state and now we are taking it out and what is going to be left? I can't believe it.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, Mr. Speaker, and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator DeCamp a question or two about another part of the bill before I see whether or not we should eliminate these cans. Senator DeCamp, these questions are for clarification, now seriously.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Okay, you are going to have to turn Senator Chambers up. I can't hear him.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, wasn't it on?

SENATOR DeCAMP: It was on but they don't turn it up so you can hear.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Can you hear me now?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Yep.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Senator DeCamp, on page 3 we have a definition of litter as being waste material. Then we have a definition of wastematerial further down saying, "any material appearing in a place or in a context not associated with that material's function or origin". And also it excludes certain waste products from primary farming activities. So let's forget that farming activity portion. If along the highway there were weeds growing, could somebody dump weeds along the highway because weeds would not...where they would be located with other weeds would not be inconsistent with the function or the origin of the weeds, and I am just trying to find out?

SENATOR DeCAMP: No. Senator Chambers, as you know, clearly the new weeds would not be performing their legitimate function as were the old weeds so the new weeds would be litter.