

it does is to add, just stretch the time out from 1982 to 1983 whereupon the Revenue Committee will come back to this body with a revenue sharing proposal of some kind and at which time the rest of the balance of the distribution formula will sunset and we would be into a different kind of a revenue sharing proposal.

SENATOR FENGER: Thank you. Mr. Speaker, members of this body, I have to rise to oppose this instrument as presented. This is not an amendment at all but it is a bill and it is a bill that hasn't had any committee input. These sometimes frankly get manufactured faster than I can read them, let alone understand them but I do know this. For four years now some two thousand political subdivision of this state have been promised some \$70,000,000 to be split among each of them. When they considered LB 518 in 1977 there were seventeen amendments offered. The bill had twelve sponsors but the state didn't get rid of the money. Last year there were fifteen amendments. They addressed almost every problem in the state at that time, yet we are standing here today making a third attempt to live up to an obligation that is four years old. LB 284 is not Utopia but it is a good bill and it is a well thought out method of carrying out our responsibilities. It has also survived the rigors of examination that comprise our legislative system. Now you are asked to throw all that away for thirteen pages of hastily drawn literature? No, thank you. I submit to you the time for playing games is over. Every city, village, county and school board needs to know whether we are serious about giving them what is rightfully theirs or whether we are willing to transform our intent by amendment in a mediocre legislation and deny them these funds again. I not only will vote against this amendment but will vote to reject each and every amendment to this bill and urge this body to do likewise.

SENATOR CLARK PRESIDING

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Newell, did you want to talk on this? The amendments are now being passed out. Senator Schmit, do you want to explain them while they are being passed out?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I have explained the amendments prior. I don't know how many more want to speak in support of or in opposition to the amendments. In response to Senator Fenger's comments I would just like to say the amendment that you are looking at has not been hastily drawn. The amendment is the result of many years deliberation, many years of study. The proposal that Senator Fenger referred to and his admonition that the subdivision has not received the money, quite the contrary, Senator Fenger. They have each year received the money as promised under LB 518. It is