

analyzation and presentation ready for next year that would be constitutional that would deal as near equitably as we can for the whole State of Nebraska. The constitutional part I am still concerned about and I would hope that we have that opinion before we adopt anything on these amendments. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President and members, I rise to support the Schmit amendments with a few reservations. That reservation is that even though I support the concept of the distribution of the funds that Senator Schmit is suggesting, I am very reluctant to support even an idea of revenue sharing in the State of Nebraska. I have got a lot of reservations about revenue sharing. I think all one has to do is look at the federal government, look at the way we have been treated as a state, some of the programs that have been started with those free funds and then left us hanging with the expense of carrying on those programs. I don't want to get in that situation where that we are putting the subdivisions of this state in that same position and I don't think those subdivisions want to be in that position. It bothers me a little bit that we are even considering going that direction. Even though I support the, as I say, the concept of distributing the funds at the present time as Senator Schmit is talking about, and I also support the portion that indicates that we are going to sunset that fund because as I indicated earlier I think we need to. I think we need to look in the future but I have a lot of reservations and I just draw the attention of this body to revenue sharing and that entire concept. I would like to ask Senator DeCamp a question if he would yield, however. Senator DeCamp, you mentioned a little bit ago in answering Senator Newell a question that you were willing to look at some other amendments on Select File, some other areas, and I was only half listening until I thought I heard you say that you were willing to look at valuing all real estate at actual value. Is that correct?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I am not changing that at all. That is...

SENATOR VICKERS: No, you were talking about an amendment you might be looking at. You were talking with Senator Newell. You were talking about an amendment you might be looking at on Select File. Is that correct?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Yes, I was, sir.

SENATOR VICKERS: Was there a mention about assessing things at actual value, assessing real estate at actual value? Did I hear you mention that?