

that accomplishes something else and that something else is this. I think it will solve your equalization problems because I think you will find that any county, any subdivision of government that uses false values is cheating themselves. In other words if you say a house is worth twenty thousand and really that house is worth fifty thousand, you are cheating that county out of your share of the sales and income tax dollars that would accrue or would come to that county as a result of their percentage of valuation. Now I stated earlier that really once you have accepted this premise that we are going to use, a fairly simple formula to distribute the money, you still have a couple questions left to resolve, questions that have come up repeatedly. Number one question, do you want to give emphasis to one type of real estate more than another type and I think we can probably legally and constitutionally do that. Do you want to say, agricultural land, should receive more emphasis? The second question is, do you want to terminate this at some time in the future and say, look, this is a temporary solution. We are going to another solution in the future for distribution of state aid, of distribution of this money, so and so forth. What we are doing now is solving an emergency and we are going to another system in the future. In other words, do you want to put a sunset and some intent language for something else? If you resolve those questions favorably, then I am sure you will adopt one of the various proposals that will be up here. If you decide you are satisfied with what we have got now, \$72,000,000 roughly, distributed strictly on the basis of valuation and you know the numbers and then we move ahead with the bill as it is. But the bill is really super simple. I think it will save hundreds of thousands of dollars of enforcement time of tax officials in trying to keep counties equalized because it has removed the incentive for not telling the truth. It has made you get punished basically for lying about your evaluations and it accomplishes in a manner that I can understand. My little four year old can understand exactly how much you are going to get. If you have 1% of the value of the state, you get 1% of the pot. It is pretty simple. So, I urge you to support the bill, listen carefully on the amendments with some objectivity and I say objectivity for this reason. It is going to require thirty-three votes to pass it. You are not going to get thirty-three votes unless you reach a conclusion in the minds of thirty-three people in here that they are getting treated essentially fairly, maybe not perfect because no matter what, no matter what happens in this bill, Johnny DeCamp's district gets hurt. I get hurt bad. What I hope to do through the legislation though, is set up a system for the future that will be essentially fair. We will have honest values in Holt and Boyd and