equipment which we traditionally do put into capital construction necessary. The equipment is a part of the appropriation. So it gets...on the surface it would appear not but it is conceivable that that 13 million would constitute the 50% if you spend out the equipment within the building. I am not talking about furnace type equipment but laboratory equipment, that kind of equipment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Let me ask you specifically what your intent is then. You reference 85-180.05. Is it your intent that capital construction costs as used in that statute would mean the total cost of the construction of the vet college or does it mean something else?

SENATOR WARNER: As far as my intent would be, I think, and I am establishing my intent right now, but I think reasonably I would have to tie it to the brick and mortar contract because we do on occasions do not include equipment within a capital construction contract. So, on that basis 50% would be the brick and mortar contract which would be something a little under the 30 million.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, so the effect then of 180.05 as far as you are concerned is that the federal funds really don't have to be half of the total cost of construction of the vets school. They can be considerably less.

SENATOR WARNER: Right. They could be something less.

SENATOR BEUTLER: How much less could they be? What is the bottom figure we are talking about?

SENATOR WARNER: I had a breakdown, this is totally from memory now, but as I recall, maybe Senator Schmit or somebody else could help but as I recall there probably is in the vicinity of 3 million, give or take, in that estimate that could properly be identified as equipment and that is, I may be off a little there. Which would make somewhere in the vicinity of 26, 27 million for construction.

SENATOR CLARK: You have one minute left.

SENATOR WARNER: (interruption)......construction.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, thank you very much.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I rise to oppose the Warner amendment and I would like just to take a moment to tell you why reluctantly I do oppose