

issue of "fish or cut bait" which I mentioned earlier, build or not build, depending upon action at the federal level is resolved in the upcoming amendment of Lamb, Kahle, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And for that reason I would suggest that Senator Warner and Senator Schmit and I withhold further action on this amendment pending the outcome of the Lamb, Kahle, Schmit amendment which sets a definite deadline on the school, terminates the efforts, so on and so forth. So, with the permission of Senator Schmit, Senator Warner, I would suggest we at least hold up on this amendment until the other one is resolved.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Now tell me who is to be recognized at the moment for the amendment that you referred to.

SENATOR DeCAMP: I think Senator Warner would be a good one now.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, procedurally it is my intent to go ahead with the amendment at this time so if no one else who cosigned it wants to, they can take their name off. Hearing no one, Mr. President, I assume no one wants to take their name off so I will proceed.

SPEAKER MARVEL: I think that is a correct assumption, Senator Warner. Why don't you proceed?

SENATOR WARNER: All right, I want to give my version of this amendment also as I understand it. On a number of occasions I have, as well as others in the body, have commented on the concern of tying up large sums of money in capital construction for an extended period of time. We have done that once with the Omaha Correction Complex and it has had a very adverse effect on orderly construction and other facilities of the state and I would have concern that the same thing can occur here with an equally adverse effect. Now what I understand the real concern is and it has been touched upon, is if there is any reference to the Animal Science Building, that the political impact of that will be that those who are opposed to the Veterinary College for whatever reason, will then do as has been suggested, work to not have any federal funds available. I would suggest then that the real issue before the body, if you take the \$50,000 out for the Animal Science Building in this bill, that also means that we do not put it into any appropriation bill for the next three years. Because if the \$50,000 planning money for the Animal Science Building is appropriated, then the argument can be made just as assuredly, just as accurately and just as correctly that