March 31, 1981

stumbling block. I think if we adopt that amendment and any clarifying language on minimal amounts or something like that or handling of funds interim time until the issue is resolved, the bill will be acceptable to a large majority of the Legislature and we can go ahead. So, with that understanding I am withdrawing this amendment and going to support the one of Schmit, Lamb, Kahle, wherever, whoever, that does address the "fish or cut bait" question.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vickers, your light is on. Senator Vickers, are you withdrawing this amendment? Whose amendment is it? Okay, Senator DeCamp, are you withdrawing your amendment? So ordered.

CLERK: The next item is an amendment that was offered by Senators DeCamp, Schmit and Warner. I understand Senator Schmit asked unanimous consent to withdraw his name from the amendment. The amendment, Mr. President, is then offered by Senators DeCamp and Warner and it is found on page 1156 of the Journal.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: I understood the Clerk to read Senator DeCamp's name was first on the amendment so I assume he should be recognized first.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp, do you wish to be recognized?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Well, not really. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, Senator Schmit, Senator Warner and myself sat down, had communication with a number of urban and rural senators, trying to find a gate through the, apparently through the impassable wall in trying to resolve the vets school issue. This amendment here which was signed by all three of us was essentially the same thing as the previous amendment with some clarifying technical language that triggered 1.3 million dollars to go directly into a Beef Science or Animal Science Building once federal funds had not been achieved and once a certain date was reached. In other words, it folded the vets school program or attempt and said, look, we take this money and direct it another way. Senator Schmit and others, including myself, since that time have discussed the issue, particularly Senator Lamb, as to whether this concept of triggering the funds into another program would, in fact, jeopardize the vets school. In other words, would people who oppose the vets school and favor the Animal Science Building say, hey, look, we will call our congressman, we will put pressure on the Governor. We will tell them we really do not want the vets school and just to hold off long enough for us to get this other building. For that reason, I am taking the position now that the