

March 27, 1981

LB 535

want to keep them. So beings we are in such a fluid state right now I would just as soon continue on the way we are for another couple of years anyhow to see how this thing really works out. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Kremer. Senator Kremer, do you wish to speak to the amendment? No, all right. Senator Dworak, do you wish to speak to the amendment, the Koch amendment? We only have a couple minutes on this bill.

SENATOR DWORAK: I will pass in the interest of time because I think the amendment should be voted down. I think it is a good concept and I think some people are reading some fear into this thing that are not there. We are referring only to the appropriations process and no way are we looking at sessions every two years.

PRESIDENT: All right then, Senator Koch, do you wish to close on your amendment?

SENATOR KOCH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senator Dworak might have misunderstood me. I just said this may be a step toward a biennial session because we are going to the people and we are saying biennial budgeting which means the major budget is constructed once every two years in the odd numbered years and sure you have some latitude. Now if we are going to go through the process of what we are doing now I don't know why we want this type of amendment in the Constitution. I can't detect the flaws of our budgeting process that obviously we must be making under the present conditions I have served since I have been here. This body may be back in a special session before this year is over even though we go home in June. If you are paying close attention to what the federal program is and the incisions that are being made in terms of funds and we have considerable number of federal dollars coming back to the state, we are going to have to make some adjustments in our budgeting procedure and they are going to be considerable. Looking in the area that I pay considerable attention to in education, we are going to lose somewhere around twenty-five to thirty million dollars. It depends what that last line is going to be in terms of hot lunch monies, in terms of a number of other factors, vocational education monies, you name it, Title I, they are all in there. This state has either got to make up its mind then that we are going to let local governments pick up all that cost or we are going to help supplement it and I can't believe the people on this floor who have a very strong rural interest, one of the biggest cut is the food lunch program and that food comes from those who produce the food on the farms in this state. It is a severe cut and it is across