

March 26, 1981

LB 384

attempting to do with this amendment to the Beutler amendment is to clarify it which I think was Senator Beutler's intent, only a little bit more clearly. I don't have any trouble with his amendment that says, "but not be limited to", but I share Senator Kahle's concerns about taking out the words "personal property". Personal property can be very essential to a livestock operation to many, many of the farming operations in the State of Nebraska. Personal property when it relates to livestock, to the cattlefeeders, to the stockmen of the State of Nebraska, to the swine industry, can mean many, many thousands of dollars and mean their entire livelihood. Now it is entirely possible, and we are talking about young farmers although that is not necessarily the case. I guess I would like to consider myself relatively young, Senator Johnson, but I am not sure that's true, I am a little bit older than you are. But the fact of the matter is that many of us that are in the livestock industry no matter what our age could get in trouble and need assistance and I mean big, bad trouble, and it seems to me that personal property should be kept in the statutes. So what I am attempting to do is narrow it down so that Section 5 will read like this, and I would hope that Senator Schmit and DeCamp would be listening at this point in time. I am attempting to amend Section 5 so it will read, "agriculture or agricultural enterprises shall mean and include, number one, the real and personal property constituting farms and ranches." And then strike the rest of Section 5. I think that is what the intention of this legislation is, is to assist farmers and ranchers of the State of Nebraska but I do think and I insist that it be for both real and personal property reasons, not just for real property. So that is the amendment to the Beutler amendment and I urge the body's adoption.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vard Johnson.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I rise to support the Vickers amendment to the Beutler amendment. I think that it very carefully tallors what is and what is not an agricultural enterprise for purposes of the act. I note in looking over comparable acts in other states, the Pennsylvania act, Senator Vickers, provides that so many dollars can be used for real estate acquisition and so many dollars can be used for non real estate acquisition. So it's very clear that other states who have looked at this issue have concluded that it is best not to limit their agricultural development programs solely to land acquisition,