think I would object to the concept of let's receive bids on a one license plate system while we receive hids on a two license plate system, and let's receive bids on two different kinds of one license plate systems of beaded on paint and the total reflectorized. But I think it really is wrenching this whole bill out of context to use this as a vehicle to make the substantive decision we should only have one plate. We haven't had a hearing on that idea. I don't think we are prepared to make that decision. If we are interested in a one plate system, let's amend 290 to get the bids in on a one plate system along with the bids on a two plate system, and then when the bids come in we can make that decision. But let's don't make a decision today that is going to exclude the rossibility of having a two plate system. I don't think any of us are interested in doing that or feel we are in a position to do that. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Wagner.

SENATOR WAGNER: Mr. Speaker, I call the question.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The question has been called for. Do I see five hands? Okay. The issue before the House is, shall debate cease? All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Do you wish to have debate cease or do you want to continue debate is the issue. Record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Debate has ceased. The Chair recognizes Senator Haberman to close on his amendment.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I have a question of Senator Clark, please.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Do you yield, Senator Clark?

SENATOR CLARK: Yes, I will yield.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Clark, is your purpose of introducing LB 290 to save money?

SENATOR CLARK: That was the original purpose.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you, Senator Clark. Up there in the corner, fellow Senators, is a total of \$76,425,000 not accounting the appropriation fund. All of those A bills amount to \$74,425,000 and that isn't including