

March 26, 1981

LB 290

of LB 290, and I fully support that. I urge this body's rejection of the kill motion on LB 290.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Beutler. The question has been called for. Do I see five hands? All those in favor of ceasing debate vote aye, opposed vote no. Record.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 2 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to cease debate.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Debate has ceased. The Chair recognizes Senator Koch to close on his motion.

SENATOR KOCH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In reply to my good friend from Sidney, Nebraska, I did not put a kill motion on the bill yesterday. I tried to amend the bill and it was germane because the subject was appropriate. I withdrew it after I had an opportunity to speak to this body on what I felt was a discriminatory practice on the part of the Legislature in terms of in lieu of taxes, but that is another subject. Seldom do I ever use the IP in this body since I have been here in six years. No, but I have seen studies go by the road before. Our committee has conducted a number of studies. We get all the facts before us. We either try to advance legislation to prove something or we decide it wasn't necessary. So I don't think that the study is necessarily a sacred body of some kind of determination necessarily logical or illogical. The other day Senator DeCamp and myself tried to offer to you an amendment to LB 290 which would try to prescribe how we are going to proceed with the business of license plates, and basically we were saying that we were going to establish a committee of those who are directly involved with the issue, the Motor Vehicles Department, the Superintendent of the Safety Patrol, the Attorney General, the Director of Correctional Services, Director of Administrative Services and they would describe the specifications before you went to bid. However, this body did not see fit to do that. I think that we made a mistake. If you are really going to place this issue on bid, then I think there should be certain specifications that should be defined, and appropriately that committee would have been the one to do it. However, we did not see fit to do it, and I don't want to argue with Senator Clark, but he used the name of the corporation again this morning that I think is unfair, because I sat in that hearing and you talk about a stacked one, I thought that was stacked. And you talk about something being stacked and something that might be written in the interest