bill" around here. You really won't find anybody who is willing to sit down and defend it on the merits. Now, my point is that if we have to have it for political reasons, let's at least...let's at least do as little damage as possible, and let's at least exempt the little people. Let's exempt the people that didn't want this bill in the first place who are not particularly going to benefit from the bill, who as far as I know would just as soon live with the bottle bill. let's not jam a whole lot of governmental regulation and a whole lot of taxes down their throats when it is just not going to accomplish that much. So, Senator Dworak, I hope that answers your response. I am not trying to lessen the political opposition to this thing. I am just trying to cut down the exposure and cut down the damage as much as possible. Now this amendment is going to have the effect of exempting, I would guess, hundreds of small drug stores and grocery stores and little places along the road where you can buy a sandwich that would otherwise be included. And I see no reason to include a drug store, simply because it sells vitamins and candy bars and a few other things. So, I think we are in basic agreement on the merits and I am surprised that you don't concur with this amendment as a means of lessening the exposure to people we don't want to drag into it. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp, do you wish to close on your motion?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Yes, Mr. President. Senator Dworak raised the question that he couldn't understand the amendment. Now there are many things we can do here. We can explain things. We can attempt to clarify things. is no way I or anybody else can guarantee that Senator Dworak can understand it. That is up to him. me explain the origins. This is the way the original litter bill was. You had a minimum of \$50 before you ever paid anything. This goes back to the original concept, \$350,000, minimum payment of \$50 before you started to You do that on your income tax. You do that on any number of things. You have minimal amounts because the cost of processing and so on and so forth outweighs or doesn't justify the lower amounts. And whether that amount should be \$100, \$200, \$300, \$400,000 is a judgment matter. Senator Hoagland, Senator Wesely, other people interested in the legislation and myself have conceded that maybe \$350,000 which was the original first litter proposal be a reasonable amount. And you do exempt a number of businesses. Senator Hoagland pointed it out,