

March 24, 1981

LB 253

bottles and throw away sacks and what have you, depending on where they are bought? It doesn't make any sense to me at all. I personally will not put a vote up in support of this amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Cullan.

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I have to rise in agreement with Senator Dworak. I fail to...although I guess, Senator Dworak, I think I can offer you a little better explanation as to why Senator DeCamp is so enthused to jump along with this little amendment here. He knows that this will exempt some people from the provisions of this bill and maybe some of those people out there who know what a mess the whole thing is from the first place. So he wants to exempt a few more people and so that there is less political opposition and yet the can and bottle people can still say several years from now that they are doing something effective with this issue. I think it is kind of a joke. I think we ought to reject this amendment and then I just placed a kill motion up there, and maybe we ought to kill the bill in a few minutes.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. Speaker and colleagues, I think I really want to spend just a minute or two responding to Senator Dworak and Senator Cullan, particularly Senator Dworak. Now, Senator Dworak, in my remarks about a half an hour ago, or 45 minutes ago, I tried to make clear my position on this whole bill. I don't think this whole litter act control concept addresses the problem of litter meaningfully. I mean I said then that there are not enough Boy Scouts in the United States to clean up all the rural roads in the State of Nebraska through grants and through voluntary organizations funded by three or four hundred thousand dollars a year at the state level. I mean, I concur with Senator Cullan. I think this whole concept is nuts, and as I indicated earlier, this whole idea was brought in several years ago by the large companies that are deathly afraid of the bottle bill to put something on the books so they can tell the public we are doing something meaningful to address this problem. Now this doesn't do anything meaningful to address the litter problem. I mean, if you look at how the money is to be spent, if you look at the whole function of the bill, I think it is all puff and it is really a joke and I think even the sponsors of the bill from time to time have conceded that and that is why it is known as a "barking dog