

March 24, 1981

LB 253

now adopting it. It is taking a little longer to see its effects as was predicted than the bottle bill, by the same token as was also predicted the bottle bill in states like Iowa where you saw an immediate overnight cleanup of some bottles and cans, they are seeing now that cost them \$30 million dollars last year. So I urge you to reject this proposal at this time. Possibly in the next year or two years with the development of more recyclable materials we will find it is not even necessary because free enterprise and of their own volition a lot of people are getting into the litter cleanup business because it is becoming profitable, and it may not even be necessary to do this other thing. At this time I urge you to reject the amendment. Senator Wesely and I have discussed the alternate amendment that would accomplish every single thing that Senator Hoagland wanted, and I think he will be offering that next. As long as we deal with dollars rather than number of employees, we can be a little bit flexible and try to make it more workable or exempt more of the Ma and Pas as Senator Hoagland says. But at this time I think we ought to go ahead with the original bill and maybe make some changes as Senator Wesely is going to suggest and then pass it onto Final Reading and see if we can't really make this start functioning even better this summer than it has in the past, and it has worked very good in the past.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: Mr. Chairman, not to come between Senator Hoagland and Senator Wesely in their discussion, but I did want to rise in support of Senator Dworak's concept. I think that it's something that can be done in Nebraska and I really don't think it would harm the effort that Senator DeCamp is talking about. If he wants to have the best in the nation, and I always know that he is interested in doing that and establishing precedence and doing that which is unique, there is probably nothing more unique than the suggestion that Senator Dworak has and that is a tandem approach...the tax that Senator DeCamp has imposed and the extra government that Senator DeCamp has called for in the area of litter pick-up, the advertisements that we have on television that are so wonderful to encourage us not to litter, and that is one part of the tandem approach. The other would be a direct financial incentive, as Senator Dworak indicates, for picking up cans and bottles along the roadside. It is being done just across the river in Iowa. It is being done in six other states. So I think that if we really want to have the best, as Senator DeCamp is telling us