

March 23, 1981

LB 197

SENATOR DeCAMP: I am aware of that.

SENATOR NEWELL: Okay, all right, John.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Okay, so I could support and would support and do support Senator Newell's amendment and the concept we just rejected. That said, "Look, with this particular class of people, particular categories, if you want to call it low income or whatever, we are going to basically cancel the income tax you are having to pay now." Now that is one concept. You are saying we are not taxing it anymore. But concept #2 which Senator Kilgarin just said isn't what she is doing, which I interpret she is doing is to say not only are we cancelling your tax but up to X amount of dollars we are going to let you reach into the state bucket. We are going to send you a check and we are going to let you draw out. We are subsidizing. We are creating a whole new class of subsidy as I interpret it. Now I don't claim to interpret it right but I want to understand it fully. Now I see Senator Marsh saying that is wrong but I think it might be kind of right so I will listen.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you, and, Senator DeCamp, you do have an incorrect interpretation. This is not giving you money unless you have a state income tax which you have been paying in the past. This does not give you dollars from the tax money. There is no way that we are trying to pay out of our treasury dollars regardless of what Senator Newell is trying to say to you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the Unicameral, this is indeed an issue that does need clarification so I will refer to the financial note where it is going to cost somebody \$561,000, and if I am not mistaken, that is state funds. So here we are again at that point of dipping into the bucket and taking money out and not coming up with a way to replace it. I at this time would like to chide my senators that I had a bill or an amendment before you the other day to replace this money with only one license plate. We would have saved \$2,700,000. That, fellow senators, would have picked up this loss of \$500,000. So I have a question of Senator Kilgarin.

SENATOR KILGARIN: Yes, Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Is the fiscal note correct that it is going to cost \$561,000 in state funds?