

March 18, 1981

LR 26

I understand the situation the State of Colorado has yet to take a position in support of this resolution in support of that project. That is to say, the Governor of that state, the Legislature of that state have not yet gone on record as I understand it, in support of the Narrows Project. Yet the State of Nebraska in which the project is not even located is now willing to support that project which does not seem to make much sense. If the state in which that project is being built is not willing to support strongly the project, then I wonder about whether it is Nebraska's role to support the project. Secondly, when we are talking about supporting that project we have to keep in mind the O'Neill Project in Nebraska is also pending and the fact is with the cuts in government spending that are going on at this time that President Reagan is undertaking, a question stands at this point. The O'Neill Project is about 300 million dollars. Will there be money available if this project is supported by Nebraska and thus placed ahead of the O'Neill Project and thus leaving the O'Neill Project without funding. I think if Nebraska takes this position I would, as a member of Congress, look back and say, well, Nebraska seems to favor this Narrows Project over their own O'Neill Project and so I would think that we may jeopardize funding for the O'Neill Project. The third point I would like to make and that is, even at the bottom line after all those considerations are made, if you do support these types of projects, perhaps you ought to keep in mind that Mr. Jaksha's ad which we just saw earlier this week, the full page ad which was taken out in the World Herald and the Journal-Star across the state with radio ads and at the top it says, "Do you want to pay for a one billion dollar dam?" This is in opposition to the O'Neill Project. Now the O'Neill Project is very similar to the Narrows Project. I can't tell whether or not the Narrows Project would cost a billion dollars in the end either but I think it is a fundamental question that is at the bottom of this whole issue and that is, do we support these types of very costly projects that benefit very few farmers and ranchers in the area when, in fact, there are so many other needs that we have for water projects in this state. If we took that 300 million dollars and spread it across this state with 10 million dollar medium sized projects think of the impact it would have on the State of Nebraska across the state, not in just one area. We are talking about raising or using the cigarette tax to the tune of four to five cents to pay for projects which would raise millions of dollars, not nearly to the degree that the 300 million dollars that we are talking about with the O'Neill Project that would provide but what if we took that money from the federal government. What if they turned back that 300 million