

that cover the spectrum, isn't there supposed to be discussion and argument to clarify the issues and arrive at what is best? Isn't the Executive Board supposed to be a microcosm of the Legislature which is to be a microcosm of the populous as a whole? Everything is supposed to be condensed and distilled through the mind of the Attorney General, one person who cannot be relied on to even do the research which he or she is assigned to do right now in drafting opinions. Now I have a suggestion after I make one more comment. When the Omaha sales tax issue was put on the ballot it was drafted in such a way that people would not get the idea they were voting to extend the tax. They were made to look at the aspect of the amendment that said it would terminate in 1981. So what they thought they were voting, and I had people tell me this, was to end the tax and had they known that a no vote meant the tax would end right then, they would have voted no but it was craftily and artfully drafted by those in the city administration who wanted to keep that tax so they drafted the amendment to make people think they were voting as a matter of fact, to end the tax but it was to extend it for another year. They did not say you are voting to extend the tax for another year, you are voting to end it in 1981, giving the impression that if they did not vote for this amendment the tax would go on indefinitely. So there is chicanery if that is what you want to call it. There is sleight of hand if that is what you want to call it at all levels when people are assigned to do something that bears directly on what is of personal interest to them. Senator Dworak had suggested that it would be advisable to find a neutral party to do this. I am not certain, Senator Dworak, that it has been determined that anybody lives on Mars or Venus or if they did they would have any interest in drafting constitutional amendments for the State of Nebraska. Other than that I don't know where you could find such a neutral person. If you changed the word to neutralized I am not aware of anybody being able to bring them back from the dead and were they brought back from the dead I am not sure that they would not bring the same vices back with them that they took with them. So my recommendation, Senator Dworak, is that you assign me to do this. I have knowledge of the law. I have some facility with the use of language. I have never lost the common touch. Though I have not walked with kings I have talked to one president and we had a little confrontation and I think I would be able to grasp the significance and meaning of the amendment as passed by the Legislature in whatever bill authorized it. I would be able to select language that was clear, understandable by all of the people and which would embody the significance of the amendment. So we should defeat Senator Koch's amendment and although it would be...I mean we should accept Senator Koch's amendment which would defeat this idea of having