

March 18, 1981

LB 446

green will continue that policy and it is a vote well worth doing.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I think my good friend Senator Johnson has it backwards. As it is now on a constitutional amendment printed below the constitutional amendment are the pros and the cons of that amendment for the reader to read that but it does not say whose pros and whose cons, who has written it and it can be slanted and it is written by the state. The only thing the committee wants to do is say, fine, let's have people be able to have a pro and a con, for or against the amendment, however, they have to sign it, who is opposing it or for it. They have to pay for it and number three, it has to be in the same vicinity or next to the constitutional amendment so people can compare the two. If we don't do it this way the people who run the ads for or against have no guarantee where they are going to be in the paper. They could be buried anywhere. It is almost virtually impossible for an organization or a person to contact every newspaper. This way they give to the Secretary of State their ad and the money to pay for it. They pay the regular legal rates. They don't get any break on the rates and it is assured to be run in the paper next to the constitutional amendment. Then the public can see that Don Dworak is in favor or it, that Johnny DeCamp is opposed to it and they can vote any way they wish. The committee discussed this over and over and we came up that this would be the best solution so if you vote for Senator Vickers...you want to defeat Senator Vickers motion so vote red. That is right. Then it will go back to the way the committee wants it. So I would ask you to vote no on Senator Vickers splitting the question and go with the committee amendments so that the ads identified by the party will be next to the constitutional amendments so people will be able to compare them and then vote with intelligence. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: A question of Senator Vickers please.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Vickers, where are we?

SENATOR LAMB: I am in support of striking the language on page 62 in the bill because I have seen this happen before and that is no good because you have the arguments right next to the official ballot language and people are confused notwithstanding the committee's suggestion that they have a disclaimer there. I still think it is a bad policy to have it there because so many people think that