

March 16, 1981

LB 245

putting the money out in front for planning. I hope the Legislature is aware of this and some of us have tried to emphasize the importance of making this investment in our state that will do nothing but help our state and help the livestock industry which is a part of the great economy of our great state. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President and colleagues, I am sure many of you like I last night watched "60 Minutes" and the scheme to obtain SDA loans. These companies for a fee of \$2500 would guarantee that they would fill out the forms and were leading you or leading the client to believe that about 90 percent of their requests were fulfilled. Well, after the investigation, the clients spent \$2500 and they didn't get any SDA loans. The only thing was the \$2500 expenditure. And I am sure you like I sat there and thought how can anybody be so naive to spend \$2500 without any insurance or any guarantee that the loan would be fulfilled. Well, this morning we are being asked to spend \$1,300,000 before one dollar of federal funds may or may not be triggered, \$1,300,000 of state appropriation in an effort for state pride and an effort to take some leadership before we have...we don't have any guarantee that there will be one cent of federal money. Now I just question how good a sense that is. I think Senator Beutler's amendment is right on target that, if, in fact, there are significant federal dollars which I question with the Reagan administration, with the massive cuts being proposed in the existing programs with virtually no expansion of new programs, with the exception maybe of defense, and I don't put the Veterinary College in that category, I really question whether a cent of state money should be appropriated before we have in writing assurance and guarantee that federal money will follow. Now if we were in an extremely wealthy state where \$1,300,000 didn't mean anything, then I would say it might be worth a gamble. But when I look at that appropriations process, when I look at the needs across this state, when I look at the needs right out there on East Campus right now, to mention the Animal Science Building, when I look at Bessey Hall on the downtown campus, when I look at Morrill Hall, when I look at Architectural Hall, we have got a lot of places for \$1,300,000 where we can gain some very tangible benefits. When I look at our water situation and the amount of money that is being requested now to fund those projects, I have some real concerns about risking \$1,300,000 without any guarantees. To me the Beutler amendment is