

SENATOR CLARK: I call the question.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Do I see five hands? Okay, the question before the House is, shall debate cease. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Cease debate. Those of you who are underneath the North balcony, South balcony, we are going to need some votes. Record the vote.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Debate has ceased. The Chair will recognize Senator Warner to close on the motion.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, just two or three things I do want to respond to. Banking legislation that was introduced in '73 was brought up and I was on Banking Committee then. At that time one of the bills that was similar to what is contained in 133 dealing with detached facilities, I supported the amendment then to the bill although it never got out of committee to limit it to the city limits which is consistent with what I have done today but secondly the raising of this bill I don't think will dominate the rest of this session any more than not raising it as has been true in the past. The reason banking legislation dominated previous sessions if they did was because of the efforts of trying to put a whole bunch of things in with that one issue or stop a whole bunch of things because of that one issue and not the single issue of the full service facilities or, for that matter, the multibank holding companies alone. The only purpose of the motion is to deal with one issue, one issue alone. I don't think every issue that comes before this Legislature needs to be considered in combinations of a number of other things. I sometimes get the impression that the theory is kind of like the farm auction that I have been to many of and so have some of you others and when you've got an item you can't sell the auctioneer throws two, three more things with the item until you get enough stuff in the pile to get a bid and obviously the intention of 376 is to throw enough stuff in there so that you can get a bid on it. Well I think it ought to rise and fall on its own merits. I think through 133 as a single issue can rise and fall on its own merits as well and I would urge that the body would support putting it out. One other thing I might mention, the poll, I'm not sure at what time it was taken or at least I am not sure the banks who commented on it were aware we were talking of three or five but I assume they were opposed to the bill as it was introduced and, secondly, there is one bank there that is where I bank and that is opposed, I know they are opposed to 133 at five facilities but I also know that they are in support of expanding full