

you see that these persons are classified as peace officers. Then when you get to the end of line 9 and the beginning of line 10, they have all the powers of police officers when it comes to issuing warrants. So in addition to being considered peace officers and police officers, and in a criminal type statute when you have words, even though they seem similar, each one is considered to have a different meaning, otherwise there would be no purpose in having them there and the criminal law is not considered to be redundant when it has words contained within its provisions. But here are the powers that are given to these firefighters: One, arrest; two, to search; three, to make seizures; four, to secure and serve warrants, the same as police; five, to carry weapons; and, six, to issue these subpoenas on their own. So I think that Senator Beutler's amendments do not go to the real problem connected with this bill and I have a motion up there to return the bill to strike the enacting clause and I think that would be the cleanest way to deal with it because there has been no showing that with the team concept of a firefighter and a police officer in Omaha that arsons are not properly investigated or adequately investigated. But on the chance that you might buy Senator Beutler's motion, I would like to ask him a couple of questions related to the language of his amendment itself. Senator Beutler, and I can be asking the question. You mentioned in your new Section 2, at line 16, "the power to summon and compel the attendance of witnesses", and so forth. Then in line 12 you used the words "Such summons" again, and in line 24, you say it shall have the same effect as subpoenas. Then when we go over to the other page in line 7, "Disobedience of any subpoena", are we talking about two different documents? Is the summons in reality a subpoena or are there two different legal documents here involved?

SENATOR BEUTLER: (Mike off), Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then should subpoena be substituted when the document itself is being referred to rather than the procedure. Like you can say he summons them to come but it seems to me that (interruption).

SENATOR BEUTLER: That would probably be cleaner language.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, if you will go with me to the bottom of your amendment, line 17 near the bottom of the page.

SENATOR BEUTLER: This is existing language, by the way, Senator Chambers.