

March 11, 1981

LB 205

be done is for somebody to take an overall view of the problem to see which different agencies have the power to issue subpoenas and instigate investigations. The problem, you see, with this particular bill, for example, is that one of these investigators from the Fire Department can simply decide on his own to come into my business and to get my income tax records and to get my insurance records and to require all my records without approval from any court or from any official in charge. And this kind of approval from an experienced person I think is a minimal requirement. Otherwise you are going to have inexperienced people snooping into the business of citizens of this state in a number of different areas and arson is an area where it would be snooping into the business interest to a large extent of business people in this state. My amendments do not seek to kill the bill or to effectively destroy it in any manner but they do seek to add some moderate safeguards to Senator Newell's idea which I hope are acceptable to you. Basically what I am doing is this. With regard to training requirements, I am asking that anybody who exercises this kind of power be a part of the Fire Department for at least five years, have some experience, have some idea of what an arson investigation is and when you really need to use a subpoena and when not and to have some additional training. In addition, the second change is instead of saying that any one of these investigators that might be appointed can simply decide on his own on a whim, perhaps, to issue a subpoena, that he has to get the approval of the Chief of the Fire Department. So that is the second change, get the approval of the Chief of the Fire Department. The third change basically has to do with the procedures, and what I have done in that regard is simply make the procedures that would be used, make them conform to the procedures presently in effect for the Fire Marshal, for the State Fire Marshal who does the investigations in most all areas of the state outside of Omaha. Therefore, we don't have another whole set of law applying basically to the same thing but different simply because it applies to a different entity. It makes the law consistent. There is a fourth change that is merely a technical change, and those, basically, are my ideas and I think that perhaps with some slight variations Senator Newell does not object to those changes. Thank you.

SENATOR NICHOL PRESIDING

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: Well, members of the body, Senator Beutler, Senator Beutler brought these proposals to me and there are