have been working on. I think that would help more people. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Fenger.

SENATOR FENGER: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I, too share Senator Vickers' concern. I am concerned that an exemption in real estate is soing to be one more step down the same path as the watering down of the personal property tax which has put us in serious jeopardy in some of our counties and political subdivisions. I am also not concerned, so concerned about the additional credit inasmuch as the federal government has seen to...fit to give considerable credit for the implementation of this very type of program and a part of that credit is watered down by virtue of our piggyback system in the income tax but there is one other thing that concerns me. I am exposed to cable t.v. and I see the ads on television of these new products in a new field. Time has proven that some of them are without merit and do not live up to the claims of the manufacturers and I, for one, hesitate just a little bit to be a party of the fast peddler through the state who uses as a reason to purchase the fact that this body has seen fit to allow it to be placed on somebody's home or somebody's farm tax free. Thank you,

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Barrett,

SENATOR BARRETT: Mr. Speaker, a question of Senator Johnson.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Johnson, do you yield?

SENATOR BARRETT: Senator Johnson, this is of course the implementation of constitutional amendment number three.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Yes.

SENATOR BATTETT: In which the voters indicated that they of course preferred that avenue.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Yes.

SENATOR BARRETT: The question in my mind and perhaps in the minds of others in the body, is this mandatory that the Legislature take action on this or is this permissive? Do we have...

SENATOR JOHNSON: It is permissive.

SENATOR BARRETT: ...must we take some action today on this affirmatively?