

March 5, 1981

LB 74

those in favor vote aye. All those opposed vote nay.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Senator Clark voting aye.

SENATOR CLARK: Record the vote.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 29 ayes, 1 nay on the amendment, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: The amendment is adopted. Now the committee amendments as amended. All those in favor vote aye. All those opposed vote nay. Record the vote.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 1 nay on adoption of the committee amendments, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: The committee amendments are adopted. It is the advancement of the bill as amended. Do you have a motion? How many? There is a motion on the desk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers moves to amend the bill. "Page 2, line 12 strike the new language and insert fifty cents."

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, the purpose of this amendment is to deal with people who, like myself, will not spend a lot of time in a park but if it would be possible to drive to the park and just sometimes go through it on the way to someplace else, this fifty cents would defray the cost of printing some little sticker or tab to put on the vehicle to make certain that this person is not going to be allowed to stay in the park under the guise of just driving through. You would have to have some kind of a sticker or notation as to how long you were going to be in the park. With this fifty cent fee it would take care of paying for a sticker that could be put on your car and it would be clear to whoever was checking the vehicles that this is a person that is just going to be here for a very short time. I think it is reasonable. I don't think it will take from the state any money that it is entitled to have but as I said before, the parks represent what you might call common domain that people feel they have a right to enjoy without paying for because other taxes they could tend to feel will pay for the upkeep of those parks. So if you are talking about people who are going to spend an amount of time there and create expenses, you've got that taken care of with Senator Nichol's amendment. This particular one would deal with maybe the very large numbers of people who currently will not drive through a park even because it would cost too large an amount for that purpose. I think it is reasonable. I don't think it would cause the state to lose any money and it could encourage more people to make