

March 5, 1981

LB 74

the amount of the bill in the first place, to raise it to \$10 for one car. We are willing to leave it at \$5 and let two cars go in for \$10 if the family owns another car and the husband has to go off to work and I think that was discussed many times over and over when we first put the duplicates into the bill in 1978 or '79. I do believe that Senator Nichol's amendment is fair and I urge the members of the body to accept Senator Nichol's amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Lamb, on the amendment to the amendment.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President and members, a question of Senator Nichol. I have a bit of a problem in that some ranchers that live near parks, to cross the park...maybe Senator Labeledz or whoever wants to answer but if this rancher has several vehicles and I believe now they can get duplicates so that their vehicles can cross the park. They are not really interested in being in the park. The only thing is they have to get across the park to get from one side to the other. If this amendment is adopted, then as I see it, that will be increasing their fee which they don't want to pay in the first place because they are just travelling across and I wonder if that is going to cause a problem in that area. Senator Labeledz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Senator Lamb. I'm sure, Senator Lamb you are talking about a very few people that have to do that, a few farmers but we are talking about 81,000 duplicates that were issued last year for \$1 each and I think it is unfair to the people that have to pay and in this case in the last year, \$5 to go to the park when 81,000 people, and I'm not saying they are all violating it so I can't say 81,000, but a number of those people are getting a park permit, an annual park permit for \$1 and I'm sure that if you have one farmer or two farmers, I don't know how many you are talking about, but we are talking about considerable more than that when we are talking about 81,000 that obtain duplicate permits.

SENATOR LAMB: Well of course I am sympathetic to the proposal that is being offered here and I realize the problem but also if there is not some way to modify it, some modification of it so that these people who are just merely trying to conduct their businesses are further penalized, I would not be supportive of it. I would hope that there would be some way, some exemption so that these people who are just by the very nature of their business have to travel across the park could be issued a special permit of some sort. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Is there further discussion? The question before the House is the amendment to the amendment. All