March 5, 1981

SENATOR NICHOL: Yes, that is right.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Nichol's amendment to the committee amendments reads as follows: "Page 2, line 8, strike "10" and insert "5". Page 3, line 5, strike "two dollars" and insert "five dollars."

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Nichol.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, that is correct and that is what I wish to do. I am addressing only the annual resident fee and the annual resident duplicate and that will be \$5 for either one. What it really amounts to is if you pay \$5 for the fee, if you have another one you pay \$5 for it and the second section has nothing to do with what I wish to or intend to do. I think perhaps Senator Chambers is addressing this other portion.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Labedz, did you want to talk on the amended amendment?

SENATOR LABEDZ: Yes. In discussing the amendment with Senator Nichol, and I would like to explain why we believe that we can accept Senator Nichol's amendment, the Commission must depend on over 1,100 commercial permit vendors located throughout the state for sales of a large amount of the duplicates and that is where all the problem began, with the duplicate. For instance, these vendors receive only twenty-five cents retainer fee for the sale of the duplicate permits. Many of these sales people simply do not have time to check each and every type of proof required for a purchase of a duplicate. Buyers must show proof of purchase of an annual permit and valid registration in his or her name for all vehicles to receive the duplicate. It is only a matter of human nature that there is some cheating in the purchase of duplicates. For example, the Games and Parks Commission sold 59,448 duplicates in fiscal year '79. During the fiscal year '79-'80 the number of duplicate sales skyrocketed to 81,349 and this figure rose to over 85,000 in '80-'81. Considerable revenue that is much needed is being lost as a result of persons taking advantage of the duplicate permit. The Commission had heard of instances where people had been able to buy a number of duplicates and then sell them to their friends. The Commission officers face difficult circumstances in trying to trace a situation such as this because the park permits are not recorded as to name and address of the purchaser. It seems logical and fair then to eliminate the duplicate permit as Senator Nichol is suggesting and keep the park entry permit at \$5 with no duplicates and if there is a van or something going in with two cars, then it would be a \$5 permit for each one and a total of \$10 for two cars and that of course, was

