

March 4, 1981

LB 390

in a population factor to shift dollars to the richest income counties of the state, away from the low income counties. They are high by property valuations, but they are low income counties. Keyapaha County, Johnson County, the low income counties are already losing the funds that were promised to them under 518. We are just going to further the loss for the low income counties, so if you want equity, if you take income as a criteria, we already lost it when we took the valuation, because without exception the strictly rural low income counties are losing already when you put it out 100 percent valuation. Now, the only issue up here is to get some more bucks for the urban areas that have the best income base in the state. It is as simple as pie. We are hooped on the state aid formula ruralwise. We don't get our share out of that because of the qualifying mill levies, and this was the only balancing force in there. We had \$70 million going out here that favored the rural areas. We've got \$95 million going out in the state aid formula that already is weighted to the urban areas. I strongly urge the body to look at the income abilities of the counties and leave this one...leave the bill as it is right where it sits in the Revenue Committee and let the rural low income counties have a little bit of edge on this. Equity based on properties out there, that is not the issue, it's income issue. We need the dollars that are already getting ripped off, and I urge the body to put it out straight valuation and give these low income counties a break and give them all the break we can. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the Unicameral, I say, shame on you, Carol Pirsch, Bernice Labedz, Chris Beutler, Glenn Goodrich, Shirley Marsh, Don Wesely, David Landis, Tom Fitzgerald, David Newell and Gerry Koch. Remember last year, you new Senators please listen to this, remember last year when we came to the school aid formula, what did the rural Senators do? We gave you what you wanted, more weight and more money for your schools. We took the short end in the school distribution formula for your support for LB 882. Now there was some questions about LB 882 being constitutional, but we said, we know our friends from the cities and the urban affairs, they won't let us down. If 882 is unconstitutional, they will come back and be fair and play the ball game the way it is supposed to be played. We know this because we worked with you. We have helped you. We want to work with you and help you. This is