

March 3, 1981

LB 206, 206A

against the motion, mine was one of them. I think you are going to discover before all is said and done that no matter what we do on General File, Select File and everything else and all the debate we are spending on all of these bills, you are just wasting your time because on a calm day at the beginning of the session without too much information you passed a motion that is going to trap you completely. And I am supporting unbracketing it for that very reason. I also have a ten year study of Appropriations bills showing when the last Appropriations bill, remember it says all Appropriations bills, when the last Appropriations bill has always been passed. You are talking the last day of the session in almost every case. Now you tell me how you are going to handle two or three hundred major bills that can all be amended or changed or anything else in the last couple of hours. I am just saying that you are in a lot deeper than anybody dreams in what you did at the beginning of the session so I am supporting unbracketing the motion irrespective of any merits of the bill itself which is a completely separate issue. I am supporting unbracketing it to set the precedent that we better be handling these issues now as they come up rather than locking everything in and be the prisoner, literally the prisoner, on the last day or whenever the Appropriations Committee chooses, be their prisoner forever. So I support unbracketing.

PRESIDENT: We would save a lot of time, too, if we would restrain ourselves to the subject of the motion to unbracket rather than get into the merit of the...as Senator DeCamp said, into the merit of the bills. The Chair recognizes Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: I will yield to Senator Warner.

PRESIDENT: All right, Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, what Senator DeCamp just said is not so. The motion that was adopted this year is identical to the one adopted last year. What we did do last year was after the Appropriation bills had passed this body, we then went ahead and withdrew the motion and took up the A bills. But the wording was the same. I said when I initially started that I didn't object if this was one bill, but it is very evident from Senator Schmit's remarks and Senator DeCamp's remarks and there may have been one or two others, that that is not the issue of this bill. The issue is their intent...is their attempt to get new programs that have higher priority than the funding of existing programs of state government and state aid programs, and it is