

on red. Now, after that bill took effect, we were informed, or I noticed there was an article in the paper that indicated that the City of Omaha, because of previous ordinance that they had, was going to enforce it just the other way around. They were going to say you could make a left turn on red if there was a sign up saying you could. Now, I don't know whether they've continued doing it that way now, but that's the way the ordinance indicated originally that you had to have a sign up saying you could, therefore, they were going to continue doing it that way, just backward from state law. Now, I am not suggesting Omaha always does it that way, but I certainly would suggest that their regulations should be in conformity, and that was what I was trying to suggest with the State Fire Marshal's office. I don't particularly care whether it's people in the State Fire Marshal's office or the people of Omaha investigating...or not investigating but permitting certain construction to be built, but I think they should be uniform, and I think the state law should prevail, or the state regulations should prevail. And it seems to me that that's what we are doing right here. If the State Fire Marshal's office has the authority and they do, then let's let them use that authority in the investigation of fires now. Fires occur and are disastrous no matter where they occur. I suspect they occur in proportion to the number of people there are in the area, so obviously there would be more fires in the Omaha area. But I would remind this body that the large metropolitan City of Kearney has had a number of fires this past winter. Maybe we should allow those people to have this same authority. But they are not asking for it. They are willing to let the process work as it should work. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Chambers, do you wish to close?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I don't know if everybody listened to those things Senator Labeledz read, but after she read them and there is absolutely no sense to them or connection with this bill, I think being intelligent as she is, she became embarrassed and that's why she voted to cease debate hoping that she wouldn't have to go through that. Talking about somebody jumping on a cruiser and going berserk is the reason that a fire marshal ought to carry a pistol, and in every instance the individual involved was apprehended apparently by the police. So the arson investigator having a gun wouldn't have made any difference. But remember this, at the hearing nobody from the police division supported