

totally undefinable section would be good policy for this Legislature. I support Senator Fowler's amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. Speaker, members, I rise also to support Senator Fowler's amendment. Here we go again once more regulating an industry for the good of an industry. If you will notice the bill at the hearing had no opponents, but you will also notice that all the proponents or the majority of the proponents were people that will be in business regardless of what happens to LB 124. I guess I just...it seems to me, I was sitting here thinking of an analogy that could perhaps be used in this instance. I am in the livestock business. Right now I am losing money on both cattle and hogs. It would be a pretty good idea if we could set up a licensing procedure to restrict people going into my business but grandfather in those that are already in the business and then make that procedure as strict as possible to not allow people to come in. I would really support that. That would be great. I suppose we could use some of the language in (c) and (d) to indicate that maybe that would be a good thing to do because of public convenience and necessity and distinct needs and so forth but I would remind you that if you can't stand the heat in the kitchen then maybe you had better get out of the kitchen and I think that not only applies to the livestock industry but it seems to me that applies to the liquor industry as well. I don't know how you determine what the distinct needs are in the small village that I come from in regards to whether or not there should be another license for a beer joint in that town. I think this is ridiculous. Obviously the person that holds the license there in that town would be all for this because there is good money in that type of an operation and he doesn't want any opposition or any competition. But here we are again, as we have done in the past, passing special interest legislation for special interest groups to hold down competition and I don't think that is good for the public, and since we are representing the public, I don't think that is smart for us to be doing. I certainly support Senator Fowler in his amendment.

SENATOR CLARK PRESIDING

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Barrett.

SENATOR BARRETT: Mr. President, I move the previous question.

SENATOR CLARK: The previous question has been called for. Do I see five hands? I do. The question before the House