February 19, 1981

LB 143

after saying that this thing that has been completely tested that he doesn't happen to like ... like I don't like a lot of laws on the books either, Chrissie. Then after he says that this law that has been tested, he doesn't like, he says, "So go ahead and go with sixty". Now, Chrissie, you tell me that sixty doesn't violate the federal law. It does. Now you may not like that and I may not like it but it is a clear-cut violation and there ain't no ifs, ands or buts about that. You can read that in the books. You can hear that from anybody you want. You can even ask somebody dumb and they know because they can read it and tell you. So what you are saying is a law that has been tested and upheld that you don't like should be eliminated and one that you do happen to like should be flaunted. If you pass the sixty with all the good reasons and good intentions in the world, it is a violation of the federal law, and if you keep the existing system, it is compliance with the federal law and it does allow you to go sixty-five. Now I say, I didn't create the system. Ernie created it. Gave some of the most eloquent arguments in the world for it, and when the Attorney General said, "You can't do that" and the feds said, "You can't do it", they took her to court and Ernie won. So that has been tested, Chris, and that is the law and it is legal. Now you did admit one honest thing that has finally come out. You did really say it. You said, your opening sentence was, "Okay, so we are really lowering the speed limit by five miles an hour". That is what you are really doing and that is what it is all about. And if you go by the World Herald poll, they wanted to increase it because that is what they thought you were doing. Well, you are not. And, sure, I told you. I want to increase it to sixtyfive. I make no bones about it but at least I am not playing hopscotch and games with you here. I am telling you the truth. I want it sixty-five. I can't get it. You have got the thing mumble jumbled up in confusion where you eliminate what we have that is legal that is pretty good and the people like. I don't care what you do with it but you have dumped away about four or five days just going in circles. There aren't votes to put it at sixty five. I don't think there is votes to put it at fifty-five and put your provision in. What is wrong with just leaving the situation the way it is? That is all I am suggesting.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion before the House is the DeCamp motion which is to indefinitely postpone the bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record the vote.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 13 nays on the motion to indefinitely postpone, Mr. President.