

going to do that this year. The things that Senator Nichol said are true. I would never make his arguments in trying to lower the speed limit but there are problems if you do have the situation where people can speed with impunity. I am not denying any of that. Now let me plead guilty to the thing that I have done. I said when they imposed the fifty-five mile an hour speed limit it was a hoax and I would do anything I could to make inroads on that law. Originally I tried to make the fine only \$1 with no court costs and no points off the license. I did it, I meant it and I would not undo it. However, here is what I am prepared to do. Use a bill which will give those who think that that procedure of giving the grace above the fifty-five, as long as it is not more than ten over the limit, they want to take that out but they are willing to raise the limit on the signs to sixty miles an hour. Senator Higgins, Senator Labedz and others have said that they could support sixty. Senator Higgins mentioned some of the arguments I had offered that going over fifty-five and getting a ticket can cause you to have to pay higher insurance rates or be denied insurance. So there are weighty things to consider no matter what we do. But let me be completely frank with you in my position. I am for raising it from fifty-five to sixty-five. I don't like losing the grace amount but that is a part of it. Also we can get an answer for the entire country as to what this administration intends to do relative to the speed limit. We will be in session and we can take any corrective action should it be necessary but it won't be necessary. So it seems to me that Henry Clay and Senator DeCamp would be proud of having reached a stage where nobody likes what is in the bill. That is where we are now but we are not going to do anything else. We are not going to kill it. We are not going to get sixty-five. We will toy with fifty-five but that won't stay. So sixty seems to be where we are. I am going to give a brief example of what I mean and then I will sit down. There is a concept in the animal kingdom of territory. There are invisible lines that separate territories for animals of the same species and they have checked where wild dogs, one will intrude into the other's territory. The deeper he gets into that territory, the less confidence he seems to have and the stronger, the more confident seems to be the one whose territory it is, so he chases him out and the offender runs into his territory. The deeper he gets into his, the more courage he gets, the more cowardly becomes the other, and they chase each other back and forth, back and forth until finally they reach that line and each stands on his side of the line glowering and snarling at the other. That is where we are right now, glowering and snarling, but we seem to have found the line. Those on one side are not going to cross to the other and vice versa so