

that we can't supply, so I don't know what he is talking about. There is many ways that we could hurt the Soviet Union just as bad as we can by withholding the food from them and I resent it that our government has not taken those incentives and countries around the world have not taken those incentives if they really want to stop the Soviet Union. I don't know why they should pick on the American farmer to do the dirty work and that is what it amounts to so I hope you will support the resolution. I think it is a mistake. If you are going to shut off the supply of goods to Russia, let's do it across the board, not just with the things that we produce. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp, do you wish to be recognized and then we will recognize Senator Schmit to close?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I think the resolution is going to pass also, exactly as Senator Fowler said and I believe it should pass but I don't think some of the things that Senator Fowler said should be allowed to pass without comment. If you listened to the theme of Senator Fowler's talk, it went something like this. Starving people react, get violent, get angry, we as a country have the ability to starve people. Let's use starvation as our new foreign policy tool. That is about what it amounted to. Starvation should be our new foreign policy tool. If we are going to adopt starvation as our new foreign policy tool, Senator Fowler, then we are saying in essence in the most clear cut way, food is a weapon of war and we are just using this method of war right now rather than the guns. I don't think the history of this country is such that we want to adopt food as our first and basic weapon of war and use starvation as our method of foreign policy and I would urge you to adopt the resolution and with respect to the economic argument that Senator Fowler gave, I would point out that every embargo imposed thus far has resulted in the country embargoed being able to obtain the same amount or larger amounts of commodity embargoed by running it through black markets, third countries, unknown destinations, so on and so forth. It has created into depressed price in the country here, the United States, an artificially high price in the third country or the country through which the grain is then routed, a disruption of information about world market supplies and demands and everything else and it has had almost the opposite effect of that intended every time. So from an economic sound standpoint it is unsound and as a foreign policy tool I would submit to you, starvation should not be the first weapon in the United States arsenal.

SENATOR NICHOL PRESIDING