SPEAKER MARVEL: All those in favor of the motion vote aye, opposed vote no. Okay, record the vote.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 8 mays on the motion to reconsider, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, the motion is carried.

CLERK: Mr. President, I think the motion now should be, I assume by Senator Remmers that, strike the Chambers-Johnson amendment.

SENATOR REMMERS: Motion would be to strike the Chambers-Johnson amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, we are almost back to square one but what I was going to point out, some people thought that that vote on reconsideration was to reconsider whether it should be sent to a committee but that is neither here nor there. What I want everybody to understand now and be clear on is that the motion is to strike the amendment that had been added which would take that dollar off. opposed to striking that amendment. I think it ought to be left there and Senator Pirsch and Senator Nichol asked me why I hadn't done anything about taking the dollar from the judges fund. I wasn't aware that because of what this bill did, dealt with, that it might be appropriate to add it but I suppose if it is added it can always be taken off at a later time too, but I did tell you that if this bill gets to Select File then I am going to prepare an amendment that will touch every section of statute related to the judges retirement fund and a dollar from court costs going into that fund to take that dollar away from that fund. So that the whole picture is clear, it is not necessary when you say that that money will not go to those particular funds to reduce the amount of the court costs. So let me give an example and I am going to use a round figure of ten. Let's say that the total court costs now is ten dollars. dollar goes to the judges retirement fund, one dollar to the law enforcement training fund. If the amendment that has been adopted today stays on the bill it does not reduce the amount that is brought in in court costs. There is still ten-dollars brought in. If I am successful on Select File in saying that one dollar from those costs will not go to the judges retirement fund there still will be ten dollars coming in. The money would no longer be earmarked and it would go into the general fund in the state court system and in the two cities that have municipal courts, Omaha and Lincoln, it would go into their general fund. Then they could appropriate that money as they do any other general