

same basis which it should have been for many generations.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Nichol.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, Senator Marsh, may I ask you a question? Do you understand this amendment to take the judges retirement dollar out of the fee paid and put it in the general fund?

SENATOR MARSH: Yes, sir.

SENATOR NICHOL: Okay, let's set that straight right now. This amendment has nothing to do with the judges retirement fund.

SENATOR MARSH: It has one thing to do with it however, Senator Nichol. It has something to do about whether the judge will say that is going into my retirement fund directly or whether it is indirect.

SENATOR NICHOL: No, this amendment has nothing to do with the judges retirement dollar that we are talking about. This has only to do with the dollar that we collect from offenders for the increasing and the payment of the continuation of the school at Grand Island for the training of officers. That is all. Thank you, Senator Marsh. Now, if we are going to really address the problem why didn't Senator Chambers and Senator Johnson come in with one dollar for the retirement of judges? It is exactly the same amount of money. It is exactly the same principle. It may be the best but why tack it on something like this and if they really feel so strong about it, come on in with both of them at the same time. Let's hear them all at the same time. If this body feels that is the thing to do, okay, but I don't feel we should take this piecemeal and confuse people like Senator Marsh when we really shouldn't be confused.

SPEAKER MARVEL: I have three more guests to introduce and then I think we will call the question on the motion. From Senator Haberman's district a guest, Gaylord Lacey from Grant. Where are you, sir? Okay, over here. Frank Palmer, insurance agent, Omaha, a guest of Senator Fitzgerald. Are you back there? And from Senator Maresh's district, Harris Holle from Deshler. Two items and then we will go back to the bill. First of all, in the Journal there will be a letter concerning priority bills and there will also be a notation that full day sessions will start March 23, full day sessions, March 23. The motion before the House is the reconsideration of the adoption of the Chambers amendment. Senator Remmers, do you wish to close on your motion?

SENATOR REMMERS: Well I just want to say, Mr. Chairman, that I do think that we do need to have another look at this bill because I think there is some things there that need further study.