

committee did was not the determining factor, it was what the body did, and that will be again the case this time. Secondly, there is no games of any significance or proportion that we play with reapportionment this time because as a matter of fact anyone that has looked at the least of the preliminary figures know there is no districts as a whole to be eliminated to make room for population growth in other areas. There will be and can be significant differences in some boundaries within a couple of urban areas but in terms of a complete elimination as we have experienced a couple times in the past, that will not occur, there is no need for that to occur this time. So since that argument is not there, and since I totally disregard the partisan argument as having no factual basis for the simple reason if that is the basis, the 32-16-1 of the body as a whole certainly would overcome any games that would not...if games were to be played they could be played in the body as a whole and I don't expect that to happen either. But I would think that this is an excellent opportunity for the body as a whole to remind the Exec Board that we do believe that traditional reference of bills ought to be held to. The outcome is not going to be affected by using this motion as an example of that and I fully support the reference to the Government Committee because I think that is where the bill subject matter ought to be discussed and the fate of the bill is absolutely not at stake in this issue, and let me point out at those of you who have looked at reapportionment, all you have got to do this year is kind of divide the state up in some sections such as Lancaster and Douglas County where you put five, six, seven, maybe districts together accumulative those district's population will be right on as far as the average median and the Senators from those areas can readjust the lines that are appropriate, taking into consideration the interest of the various communities where they shop, where they go to school, whatever common interest that seems reasonable to be within a district and that is it. I would hope that we would support the Government Committee's position.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to withdraw my motion. I think my point has been made. It is just six of one and half a dozen of others in terms of experience and all that stuff.

PRESIDENT: The DeCamp amendment is withdrawn and we will proceed. Senator Koch, you are next on the speaking order.