

January 15, 1981

if they are, we are going to be back at the same station next year, same time, debating, arguing and pontificating, if you will, about the same subjects. I rise in support of Senator Maresh's amendment. I would be out of order to move the previous question but I hope someone does quickly. I hope we can dispose of it quickly and then go on about the, in my opinion, the more important business of this body, introducing bills if that be the case, certainly going to our offices and begin reading the hundreds and hundreds of bills that are coming in and, heaven forbid, take care of some of our constituents' needs. I again ask you to support Senator Maresh's motion.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Cullan.

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I think Senator Beutler and Senator Kahle and others who have been involved in this fight know how strongly I feel about the matter of introduction of bills. I feel strongly enough to propose an amendment to the Constitution to limit bills in the sixty day session and I will introduce that bill shortly. I am sure that that bill will be killed in this session and the actions in the early part of this session will probably help a drive for a statewide initiative petition if that is the case. So I am not at all disturbed about what has been going on. It is probably going to help me when we try to gather signatures some day but to address the issue before us now, I think that we have to look at the practical results of what has already transpired. There are a number of individuals who have already introduced in excess of ten bills or in excess of the number of bills that were previously acceptable under our rules. The committees are making their decisions based upon the rules as they currently stand, limited to eight bills. What are we going to do if we amend the rules and bills have already been sponsored by senators? As much as I hate to admit that we have lost a battle, it appears to me that we probably have lost the battle as far as the rules are concerned and so I am going to support the Speaker in his effort today to resolve this and for that reason I would oppose sending it back to the Rules Committee. Further, I think that we should note before we get too upset with our Speaker that he was very much opposed to the unlimited restrictions on the number of bills that could be introduced himself and he voted against that proposal to remove the restriction on bill limitations and perhaps if we had followed his leadership early on in the session, we would not be in this dilemma now but when you lose a battle in the Legislature sometimes it is appropriate