

January 15, 1981

other rule. What we seem to be arguing about is bill limitations. If we send the rules back to the Rules Committee is it our intent for the Rules Committee within twenty-four hours to review all the rules of the Legislature and bring us back even more changes? Is that what we want or is the issue before us simply the question of bill limitation? What the Speaker has tried to suggest is, let's adopt the rest of the rules. Let's not argue the powers of the Executive Board. Let's not argue how many standing committees we have. Let's not argue the rules. Yesterday at four o'clock when the motion came up to adopt all the rules, no one had a proposed amendment. No one had any suggested change to the motion before us. No one cared to change or had any constructive suggestions as to what to do with the remainder of the rules. The issue is the bill limitation and that issue is not before us in Senator Wesely's motion. If Senator Wesely's motion fails, we have done nothing to change the rule with regards to bill limitation and we are in the situation of having no rules on every other aspect of the operation of the Legislature. I think that is why the Speaker is frustrated. Technically we don't even know if we will have an Ag and Environment Committee. Technically we don't even know as far as the organization of this Legislature whether or not the Executive Board is to refer bills. Technically we don't even know if there is such a thing as General File, Select File and Final Reading for the rest of the Legislature because technically this body rejected the rules that covered all those segments, and why was it done? Because of an issue that even wasn't at that time before the Legislature, bill limitations.

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have twenty seconds.

SENATOR FOWLER: If people wish the question of bill limitations to come before us they could do basically what Senator Maresh is suggesting but in a more narrow scope. Introduce a rule change that says that there shall be X number of bills. The Rules Committee will have a hearing on that and bring that back before the Legislature. That issue should be dealt with separately. If we reject Senator Wesely's motion we have no rules before the Legislature and we have done nothing to resolve the question of bill limitations. It is a nonconstructive solution and it is a damaging move just to reject all of the rules of the Legislature to try and force reconsideration of a previous issue. There is a mechanism for reconsideration. Anyone can follow that. I would suggest that that is the constructive way to debate the issue of bill limitations.