

January 15, 1981

I think it is proper for them, they have the feeling of the body now, how we feel about the limitation of bills. With the 27 votes that rejected the rules I believe that they will listen to...we could go before the Rules Committee and tell them how we feel about this and they can come out with a compromise. Maybe fifteen bills would be the compromise. I don't know but I would like to say that I was one of those that went around and explained to these new members what unlimited number of bills would do. We have chaos at the end of the session and the second session when everybody is pushing to get their bills passed, we act on probably fifty bills on General File without more than two or three minutes discussion and I think this is what the public is opposing. They don't want us to have a lot of bills and not give them proper consideration. By having a lot of bills we are going to be calling people from all parts of the state and I don't think that should be. Just the important bills to us, to the body, should be considered, so I move that the rules be returned to the Rules Committee to try to work out a compromise.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Now I have a list of about eight names so I will contact those people to see whether they wish to speak generally or whether they wish to speak to the Maresh amendment. Senator Fowler, do you wish to speak to the proposed amendment?

SENATOR FOWLER: Could you read the Maresh motion again exactly?

CLERK: (Reread Maresh amendment.)

SENATOR FOWLER: I guess as a point of clarification the permanent rules still would tab what we have adopted with regards to bill limitation, correct me if I am wrong, and the permanent rules would still have the five day recess so the unlimited bill provisions still would be the rules of the Legislature under the Maresh motion. Would that be correct? That, in fact, referring this question to the Rules Committee, we have already adopted the question with regards to unlimited bills. I think that if Senator Maresh wants us to reconsider that matter rather than sending all the rules back to the Rules Committee, that the mechanism is simply to put in a proposed rule change. I think what the Speaker has tried to point out is that the question of bill limitations was answered by this body. The permanent rules were adopted. What is really before us now are all the other rules of the Legislature, things like the power of the Executive Board to be the Reference Committee or the rule dealing with who are the standing committees or any number of rules, how many days does it take to set a hearing. What is now before us is every