

January 14, 1981

and it the purpose, and I am being very honest, if the purpose is to simply delay so that you can address your issue or your concern about bill limitation, spit it out, tell us up front. Maybe the smartest thing to do is go home today and take it up again tomorrow, but certainly don't clutter up the rules with unworkable things if that is the real goal. This amendment, I think, would strap the Speaker on a very important matter preparing a daily agenda and making adjustments as necessary and it would force all of those penny ante things onto the floor of the Legislature for debate every day with a three-fifths vote. I think you are going to get in more trouble rather than less with the adoption of it.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I get to do two things at this point. I get to uphold the Speaker's prerogative and I get to put Senator Haberman in his place. Senator Haberman, I am not a lawyer because I won't join the Bar Association. I am still a human being. Now, as a lawyer though Senator Beutler knows that whatever agency has the power to enact a rule has the same power to amend or abolish it, so if the Speaker has the right to set the agenda, the Speaker, and we are hopeful and we have to assume that the Speaker will not do things for light frivolous reasons, we have to be hopeful that the Speaker would not amend it for light and trivial causes, but if there is a valid reason for amendment to the agenda which the Speaker has the power to issue in the first place, I think the Speaker should be given that power to amend the agenda and I don't think it should take a four-fifths vote to allow the Speaker to amend it. But now if somebody else were trying to amend it, this provision should not come in this rule related to the Speaker's power. We already know that to amend the Speaker's order requires the same vote as it would take to amend the rules, which would be thirty, and I think that is enough votes to require to change the Speaker's order. But if for a substantial reason and we will all know whether the reason is substantial or not, the Speaker finds it necessary to amend the agenda after it is published, then I think such a thing should be done. There could be typographical errors. There could be any of a number of things which are not even of substance. So I think that this particular provision need not be taken up at this time either.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Beutler.