complied with. You can't tell a committee to vote a bill out reported out as indefinitely postponed, but that is what you just voted, and you can't tell a committee to do that and if you tell them they can disregard it, and if they vote by a majority vote to send the bill on the floor it comes out here. It is incredible. Nevertheless, on page 26 is a matter that I am bringing before you for your prayerful consideration. Section 4 says, "members shall introduce only such bills as they are willing to endorse and support personally". Now what I am adding to that is "with the exception of committee bills". We have a problem with committee bills because some of the Senators feel that they genuinely cannot subsequently support the measure after it is on the floor. But we always have said traditionally that merely signing a bill as a committee member does not mean you necessarily support it personally but only that a certain number of signatures are required to get it introduced as a committee But in view of what the Legislature wants to do, you probably will defeat this motion also, but think about it for a moment if you can. You are required to personally support anything you introduce. When you sign your name to a bill, you are introducing it. You should not, based on this rule's existence, sign any committee bill if you are not going to support it personally. So, taking into consideration the realities of the Legislature and the way committee bills have to be handled, I would add the language "with the exception of committee bills", meaning that even if you don't personally support it, you can sign it for purposes of introduction. Also, the corollary is that your signature on the bill does not necessarily mean that you personally support it. I think it is as clear as a bell. I think it has great merit, therefore I expect it to be defeated.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vickers, your light is on.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. Speaker and members, I rise to support Senator Chambers on this rule change. I thoroughly agree that many of us are asked as committee members to sign a bill for introduction that we many times are not quite familiar with or quite understand or quite support some of the sections of the bill. Following public hearings many times we feel that we cannot stand on this floor and support it or even in the committee support it, and as Senator Chambers so eloquently pointed out, since this is what we are doing anyway and since this is the way it has been operating, what is wrong with having it in our rules that way? I certainly support and urge this body's adoption of