

January 14, 1981

to add the words "Chaplain Coordinator". I can't strike anything that Senator Chambers has because he's struck. Essentially what this does is comply with what the Exec Board decided and that is to hire, or not hire but to appoint a Chaplain Coordinator. I think there should be provisions for this in the rules. The old rule states "Chaplain" and I think Senator Chambers is deleting any reference to Chaplain whatsoever with his amendment, and I am amending Senator Chambers' amendment to add "Chaplain Coordinator".

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp, your light is on, do you wish to speak to the Dworak amendment?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Yes, Mr. President. Needless to say, the Chaplain issue has been something that has been discussed quite a bit over the last three years, and I can remember the first time it came up. Some people came up to Senator Frank Lewis and they were concerned because Reverend Palmer, I guess a Presbyterian, had been the Chaplain for twenty years or more, and some people were concerned that they had not had different Chaplains. They said to Frank Lewis, they said, how come we never get to have a Catholic Chaplain? And Frank, who is no longer here but had a ready explanation for everything, said, well Reverend Palmer keeps refusing to change. You didn't think that was funny. Well anyway, I did. To get to the main point, the history of the Legislature has been that we have a Chaplain. Now when they finally started deciding they paid the Chaplain, or whatever, I don't know, but the idea of a Chaplain was we had somebody to open the session and do more than that, do more than that, contact with membership, counseling if need be, and so on and so forth. So I think we should maintain some reference in our rules to the existence of a Chaplain, unless the Legislature premeditatedly decides that they want to eliminate that. But don't eliminate it, do not eliminate it on the basis of the court decision because the court decision, contrary to what a lot of people think, did not indicate in any way that we as a Legislature cannot have a Chaplain. Senator Chambers sought the elimination of prayer. What he won was at least a temporary elimination of paying with public funds for a Chaplain and we don't know that the outcome of that won't be changed, particularly when you consider such things as the fact that the Army, the Navy, the Air Force are all totally one hundred percent publicly financed bodies and they pay for Chaplains. The Chapla'n provides a much broader service than just giving a prayer. So I would urge you to support the adoption of the Dworak amendment for the simple reason that it retains at least