are amendments on file, then you have to slip in there someplace your own motion to suspend the rules and end debate. That is sometimes very difficult to do if the opposition has a long, long line of amendments on the bill. So this provides a direct procedure by which there can be cloture and I would say just in ending that in my two years in the Legislature, in my opinion I guess, there has been no bill that has needed more than two and a half hours debate on any one stage. So I don't think it is a harsh rule the way it is but I am not inflexible on it either. I would be interested to see what the opinion of the body is. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President and colleagues, I rise with mixed emotion on this particular rule change. When I have the votes and would like to see the bill go across and it is harassed and harangued and harassed and delayed and stalled. I become very frustrated and at that point I wish this rule were in effect. I have also been on the other side when the bill is going across and I am harassing and haranguing and harassing and a lot of times in my own opinion I think I have information that is pertinent to the bill and would like that opportunity to express that particular information, even conceding sometimes that the bill should be passed but certain things should be nut into the record indicating legislative intent on a specific piece of bill...on a specific piece of legislation. I really think philosophically those who have supported unlimited introduction of bills for individual members, to be philosophically pure and to be consistent, they are going to have to vote against this cloture rule. I really believe that an attempt to stifle debate at any stage, at any point, at any time is Here again we get into the argument of, well, is that really important. Well, there again it depends on the individual senator's point of view and I guess for a group to say a specific point of view is not pertinent or not proper is absolutely wrong in this body, as a matter of fact, probably in this country. So I know that it is very difficult after hours and hours of debate and now Senator Beutler says two and a half hours is enough. That is seven and a half hours. When I see certain cases go before various courts, various tribunals, and sometimes they last days, weeks, months, even, bringing out of the pertinent information. I think it is wrong for us to say that seven and a half hours will cover any possible legislation. think that I will personally vote against this cloture rule.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Chambers.