

January 13, 1981

exactly right, that this concentrates power in the hands of the Speaker of the Legislature which I think may be appropriate or may not but is a consideration that you should have. In addition, it takes power away from committee chairmen, and in the Nebraska Legislature, chairmen are not that powerful anyway as compared to other states. The chairmen lose probably the most important power that they have and that is the power of scheduling of legislation under this system. Now the way that it is set up, although each of us get one priority bill, and chairmen get two, the Speaker has an unlimited option as far as priority bills. The Speaker of the Legislature can choose, and Senator Beutler can correct me if I am wrong, at any time to pick and choose to designate a bill as priority bill. It can be designated at the very moment of introduction, unless I am wrong, so that it is a priority bill from Day One and that must be scheduled for a committee hearing, no matter whether Senator Carsten as Chairman of the Revenue Committee would like to group bills together of similar subject or perhaps Senator Kremer feels that all water legislation should be heard the same day. If either the introducer or perhaps more significantly if the Speaker of the Legislature wants something to be heard first, it doesn't matter what the chairman thinks. I think that is a lot of power and responsibility for the Speaker and I visited with Speaker Marvel about this question. I think I would be fair to say that he is not totally comfortable with so much discretion being put in his hands. If we let the Speaker of the Legislature not just set the daily agenda but reach into the scheduling of committee bills by his power to designate an unlimited number of priority bills, we have taken away from Senator Koch and Senator Carsten and Senator Kremer the power to group like subject matter together. We have enabled groups that have legislation lined up for quick hearing and quick action to move that bill through before full discussion and debate can be held on it. This is significantly different than the priority bill system that we have had in the past which says after the committee hearings, after the committee chairmen get done with their responsibility, after the legislation is now out in front of us and we only have a few days left, then we will pick priority bills. This moves this whole process to the very front of the legislative process. In a few years we may see a little "p" at the end of bills so then we know that they are priority bills. We may have two hundred priority bills. The Speaker may decide to designate one hundred priority bills for quick action and the chairmen are powerless to delay any action. When we create a system like this, we are limiting our own influence, we are limiting the influence of the committee chairman, and we are increasing the power of the Speaker. If that is what