

January 13, 1981

day before which nobody would be compelled to designate a priority bill as well as a day after which no such designation should occur. Rules should not be enacted based on who happens to be serving as Speaker when the rule is being considered. Just as old gentleman George Washington said although people wanted him to be President until he died, rules related to the presidency's powers and prerogatives should not be based on what they perceived him to be, for even were he to be President for all of his life, a day would come when he would look just like the skeleton seen by our colleague, Senator Beutler, and the skeleton seen by our colleague, Senator DeCamp. So despite the high regard entertained for present Speaker Marvel, we must consider the possibility of somebody occupying that position who is not as even-tempered as Senator Marvel. So what I am raising for your consideration is the possibility of it being considered wise to have a bracket of days between which the Speaker would have some degree of discretion but not total. Now there is nothing as I read it which would enable there to be priority bills should the Speaker become perverse enough not to set a date at all, and should the Speaker not designate a time when priority bills would be scheduled, there simply would be no priority bills. We do have a rule which says that any provision or any circumstance that could arise which is not covered by the rules would be covered or handled in an ad hoc basis or on an ad hoc basis. So if right now we were upset with the way a rule is administered, we can suspend that rule with thirty votes. On the other hand if we would determine that a particular issue is not covered by the rules, a majority of the elected members or twenty-five votes would be all that is needed. So if the Speaker did not designate a priority deadline, I guess somebody could move with twenty-five votes to establish a deadline should the forty-fifth or the thirtieth day, respectively, pass. I am raising this for your consideration because personally it doesn't make me too much difference whether I have a priority bill or not because the types of bills that I have would simply be slated for a more certain and earlier probable execution.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would like to kind of pick up on some of the questions that Senator Chambers has raised, and again I guess I have not necessarily strong opposition to this system although I think it may be a little too detailed and cumbersome but I think that we have to look carefully at where power will flow under this system and I think that Senator Chambers is