

January 13, 1981

Wesely proposal. (Read Warner amendment found on page 130 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, all this does is places the Appropriations Committee in the same posture as all other standing committees with whatever limitation that we end up with on committees and I see no problem with that. So I move its adoption.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Haberman, your light is on. Okay. Any further discussion on the Warner amendment? If not, all those in favor of that amendment vote aye, opposed vote no. Record the vote.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Warner's proposal, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is carried. The amendment is adopted. Do you have other amendments?

CLERK: Well now we revert back to the Wesely amendment as amended, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, could I ask the Clerk? As the rule now stands, am I correct that there is no limitation on individual introductions and a fifteen bill limitation on committees?

CLERK: That is the way it stands right now, Senator.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the amendment I sent up would reduce that fifteen to eight. I see no reason or need for fifteen committee bills if there is unlimited bills on individual introductions. Eight, according to the rules, does pertain to interim studies and there seems some reasonable approach to have some limitation on committees or allowance for some perhaps and the limitation of fifteen is excessive it would seem to me. So I would move that that would be eight rather than the fifteen that it now contains and while I have the mike, I don't know what is going to happen to this rule when it comes to final adoption but I would suggest to the members that with privileges come responsibility and the privilege of