

January 13, 1981

your district can't have more than ten original thoughts. You can't have more than ten ideas for presentation. We are the only state that is doing this, by the way. If you can say ten is too many or just right, why can't you say one? Why can't you emasculate yourselves if you get 25 here who don't happen to like the ideas of a Senator Beutler or Senator Vickers, whoever, why can't you simply stop the whole process, shut them up completely? Right? Once we get a majority and we see we don't like the water bills that are coming in, we just limit it so you can't introduce them. Do you want to know the other massive contradiction in our rules? After emasculating ourselves with this artificial limitation, we then go on in our rules to say something else, and get this. We say the Governor, be he Charlie Thone or J. James Exon or Johnny DeCamp, anyway, we say the Governor has the right and freedom to introduce an unlimited number of bills at any time without any restriction whatsoever. Now what is the interesting part of that? The Governor was never elected to introduce bills. He is to administer what you pass. So we have taken the process in here and we have said the Governor now can have unlimited bill introduction powers at any time, he who is never elected to it but you who are elected for that very purpose, we take it away from you, ourselves, we take it away. I would urge you to support the Koch amendment.

SENATOR CLARK PRESIDING

SENATOR HIGGINS: Mr. President, this is my first time to speak in this Legislature and I don't want to offer any more debate but I have been mulling over everything that these senators said yesterday and this morning and I would just like to ask if I can repeat what I have heard to see if I understand it before I vote and ask any of the Senators to, when I finish, correct me if I am wrong whether you are new guard, old guard or even the lucky middle guard, if I understand it right, Senator DeCamp said yesterday that he wanted unlimited number of bills to be introduced by a committee because it would give everybody more rights and another senator got up and said that we have to limit it to ten and another suggested fifteen and I think Senator Haberman suggested each committee could have ten bills for the different divisions of their committee. So if I understand it right, if we limit it to ten bills in the Business and Labor Committee, if those senators who belong to the Business and Labor Committee are disproportionately in favor of labor then business might not get any bills introduced or vice versa if a majority of the Business and Labor Committee is overwhelmingly pro business, then labor won't get a shot at anything. Now that is the way I am